Fuelling the first black holes — the role of tidal disruption events —

Hamsa Padmanabhan

Scientific collaborator and PI, SNSF Ambizione Grant Université de Genève

Based on: Hamsa Padmanabhan and Abraham Loeb, A&A, 656, A47 (2021)





The first black holes

- Observations of QSOs at z ~ 6 indicate supermassive BH of masses $10^9 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ at $z \gtrsim 6$ [Fan+ (2006), Banados+ (2018)]
- Highest mass predicted to be ~ $10^{10}M_{\odot}$, also observed ... [Haiman & Loeb (2001), Wu+ (2015)]
- ... just a few Myr after the first stars
 [e.g. Barkana & Loeb (2001)]
- Growing a ~ $10^9 M_{\odot}$ BH from an initial seed of 100 M_{\odot} needs ~ 1 Gyr of continuous Eddington accretion [Volonteri+ (2010, 2012)]
- Calibration if black holes are active (= AGN)
- Most BH at galactic centres dormant (esp. low-luminosity)

Quasar J0313–1806, most distant, $z \sim 7.64$



Fuelling and growth of black holes



 $M_{\rm BH} = M_{\rm seed} \exp(t_{\rm QSO}/t_S)$ $t_{\rm S} = 0.45 \left(\epsilon/1 - \epsilon\right) \left(L_{\rm bol}/L_{\rm Edd}\right)^{-1} \,\text{Gyr}$

Most high-redshift SMBHs rapidly accreting, $\eta_{\rm Edd} \sim 1$ and $t_{\rm QSO} \sim 10^4 - 10^6$ yrs [e.g., Willott+ (2015), Trakhtenbrot+ (2017), Khrykin+ (2021), Eilers+ (2020)]

Fuelling IMBHs and SMBHs

A promising avenue: tidal disruption events (TDEs)

[Rees (1988), Hills (1975)]



Open TDE Catalog (https://tde.space/), 98 so far

Intermediate mass black holes (IMBHs)

• 'Missing link' in formation of first supermassive black holes, $100 - 10^6 M_{\odot}$, e.g., NGC 205, HLX-1

[review: Greene+ (2020)]

 Most black hole mass density at low mass may be built up through tidal capture and TDEs



[Zubovas 2019]

[Milosavljevic et al. 2006, MacLeod et al. 2016a, Stone et al. 2017, Zubovas 2019]

Changing-look AGN

AGN which exhibit significant changes in optical and mid-infrared luminosity, along with appearance / disappearance of broad emission lines



[Kollatschny & Fricke (1985)]



[Graham+ (2019)]

Contribution of TDEs to AGN

At
$$z \sim 0$$
:
 $p_{\text{TDE}}(L_{\text{bol}}) = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{TDE}} t_{\text{peak}}}{\gamma_{\text{TDE}}} \exp(-L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{peak}}) \left(\frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L_{\text{peak}}}\right)^{-1/\gamma_{\text{TDE}}}$

[e.g., Merloni+ (2015)]

Generalize to high redshifts:

$$\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{10^9 M_{\odot}} = \left(0.49^{+0.06}_{-0.05}\right) \left(\frac{M_*}{10^{11} M_{\odot}}\right)^{1.16 \pm 0.08}$$

[Kormendy & Ho (2013)]

 $M_{
m BH} \propto v_c^4 \; ; \; v_{
m c} \propto (1+z)^{1/2}$

[Wyithe and Loeb 2002, Caplar et al. 2015]

$$L_{\rm Bol} = 1.38 \times 10^{38} \eta \left(\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{M_{\odot}}\right) \text{ erg s}^{-1}$$

Contribution of TDEs to AGN

Probability of TDEs in AGN with a given bolometric luminosity

$$p_{\text{TDE}}(L_{\text{bol}}) = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{TDE}} t_{\text{peak}}}{\gamma_{\text{TDE}}} \exp(-L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{peak}}) \left(\frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L_{\text{peak}}}\right)^{-1/\gamma_{\text{TDE}}}$$

$$L_{\rm peak} = 133 \left(\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{10^6 M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1.5} L_{\rm Edd}$$
[e.g., Merloni+ (2015)]
Peak luminosity

$$\Gamma_{\rm TDE} = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{10^8 M_{\odot}} \right)^{-0.247} {\rm yr}^{-1} .$$

Triggering rate

 $t_{\rm peak} = 0.5 \epsilon M_{\odot} c^2 / L_{\rm peak}$

[e.g., Stone & Metzger (2016)] Event duration

Contribution of TDEs to AGN





How many AGNs are TDE-triggered?



How many AGNs are TDE-triggered?



At z ~ 1, a maximum of ~ 1% (in the IMBH range) of AGN may come from TDE flares, ~ 5% of observed CL-AGN abundance

How many AGNs are TDE-triggered?



At z ~ 1, a maximum of ~ 1% (in the IMBH range) of AGN may come from TDE flares, ~ 5% of observed CL-AGN abundance

Luminosity function of TDE-triggered AGN at all *z*; Lower limit on CL-AGNs

Follow-up: LISA constraints on IMBHs

Use merger rates of haloes to forecast constraints on BH parameters

Existing constraints

[Hughes 2002, Lang & Hughes 2006, 2010]



To summarize ...

- We still don't know the mechanism by which IMBHs and SMBHs were assembled
- TDEs are a very promising pathway
- At low-z, they may explain a few percent of AGN
- But this can change rapidly by z > 3 due to the BH-bulge mass evolution [HP & Loeb, A&A, 656, A47 (2021)]
- TDEs may account for a significant number of high-z Changing-Look AGN !
- Upcoming observations will soon enable further constraints, as will LISA: IMBH/SMBH mergers
 [HP & Loeb, JCAP, 11, 055 (2020)]

- We still don't know the mechanism by which IMBHs and SMBHs were assembled
- TDEs are a very promising pathway
- At low-z, they may explain a few percent of AGN
- But this can change rapidly by z > 3 due to the BH-bulge mass evolution [HP & Loeb, A&A, 656, A47 (2021)]
- TDEs may account for a significant number of high-z Changing-Look AGN !
- Upcoming observations will soon enable further constraints, as will LISA: IMBH/SMBH mergers
 [HP & Loeb, JCAP, 11, 055 (2020)]

Thank you!